

# SUMMER 2025 READING GROUP ON ERGODIC THEORY

## EXERCISE SHEET 8 (ZHAOSHEN ZHAI): CORRESPONDENCE PRINCIPLES; THE FINITE-INFINITE BRIDGE; BABY STEPS TOWARDS THE STRUCTURE THEOREM.

The first three exercises explore further correspondences between finite Ramsey theory, infinite Ramsey theory, and dynamical systems; you don't need to do all of them. The next few exercises are general lemmas used to study the structure of weak mixing and compact systems.

Throughout, we let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be an invertible measure-preserving dynamical system, and recall that a *topological dynamical system* is a pair  $(X, T)$  consisting of a compact metrizable space  $X$  and a homeomorphism  $T : X \rightarrow X$ . The invertibility conditions are not necessary, but are here for convenience.

**Exercise 1.** Show that the following are equivalent.

**Theorem** (Simple recurrence in open covers). *Let  $(U_\alpha)_\alpha$  be an open cover of a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$ . There exists  $\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha \cap T^{-n}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Theorem** (Infinite pigeonhole-principle). *For any  $c \geq 1$ , any  $c$ -colouring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  always contains a colour class with infinitely-many elements.*

**Theorem** (Finite pigeonhole-principle). *For any  $c, k \geq 1$ , there exists  $N(c, k)$  such that if  $n \geq N(c, k)$  and we colour  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  by  $c$  colours, then there is a colour class of at least  $k$  elements.*

**Exercise 2.** Show that the following are equivalent.

**Theorem** (Multiple recurrence in open covers). *Let  $(U_\alpha)_\alpha$  be an open cover of a topological dynamical system  $(X, T)$ . There exists  $\alpha$  such that for each  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $\bigcap_{i < k} T^{-in}U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Theorem** (Infinitary van der Waerden). *For any  $c \geq 1$ , any  $c$ -colouring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  always contains a colour with arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.*

**Theorem** (Finitary van der Waerden). *For any  $c, k \geq 1$ , there exists  $N(c, k)$  such that if  $n \geq N(c, k)$  and we colour  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $c$  colours, then there is a monochromatic  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.*

**Exercise 3.** Show that the following are equivalent.

**Theorem** (Furstenberg's multiple recurrence; v1). *For any  $k \geq 1$  and any set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with positive measure, there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\mu(\bigcap_{i < k} T^{-in}A) > 0$ .*

**Theorem** (Furstenberg's multiple recurrence; v2). *For any  $k \geq 1$  and any set  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  with positive measure, we have  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \mu(\bigcap_{i < k} T^{-in}A) > 0$ .*

**Theorem** (Furstenberg's multiple recurrence; v3). *For any  $k \geq 1$  and any  $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$  with  $f \geq 0$  and  $\int f d\mu > 0$ , we have  $\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \int \prod_{i < k} T^{-in}f d\mu > 0$ .*

**Theorem** (Infinitary Szemerédi). *Any subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with positive upper density contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions.*

**Theorem** (Finitary Szemerédi). *For any  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ , there exists  $N(\delta, k)$  such that if  $n \geq N(\delta, k)$ , then any subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with at least  $\delta n$  elements contains a  $k$ -term arithmetic progression.*

**Remark.** Your proofs of 'infinitary  $\Leftrightarrow$  finitary' probably use some sort of compactness-and-contradiction argument. One can instead use the Compactness Theorem in first-order logic to establish these results.

---

*Date:* June 18, 2025.

**Definition.** Let  $(v_n)$  be a sequence in a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $v \in H$ .

1. We say that  $v_n$  converges to  $v$  in density, and write  $\text{D-lim}_n v_n = v$ , if  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|v_n - v\| > \varepsilon\}$  has zero upper density for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
2. We say that  $v_n$  converges to  $v$  in the Cesàro sense, and write  $\text{C-lim}_n v_n = v$ , if  $\lim_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} v_n = v$ .
3. The Cesàro supremum of  $v_n$  is  $\text{C-sup } v_n := \limsup_N \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} v_n \right\|$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $(v_n)_n$  be a bounded sequence in a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $v \in H$ .

- a) Prove that  $\text{C-lim}_n v_n = 0$  iff  $\text{C-sup}_n v_n = 0$ .
- b) Prove that  $\text{C-lim}_n \|v_n - v\| = 0$  iff  $\text{D-lim}_n v_n = v$ .
- c) Prove that  $\lim_n v_n = v$  implies  $\text{D-lim}_n v_n = v$ , which in turn implies  $\text{C-lim}_n v_n = v$ .
- d) What happens if  $(v_n)$  is unbounded?

**Definition.** A measure-preserving dynamical system  $(X, \mu, T)$  is said to be

1. *mixing* if  $\lim_n \langle T^{-n} f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}(f) \mathbb{E}(g)$  for every  $f, g \in L^2(X)$ .
2. *weak mixing* if  $\text{D-lim}_n \langle T^{-n} f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}(f) \mathbb{E}(g)$  for every  $f, g \in L^2(X)$ .

**Exercise 5.** Prove that  $(X, \mu, T)$  is ergodic iff  $\text{C-lim}_n \langle T^{-n} f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}(f) \mathbb{E}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in L^2(X)$ .

**Exercise 6** (van der Corput Lemma). Let  $(v_n)_n$  be a bounded sequence in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Prove that if  $\text{C-sup}_h \text{C-sup}_n \langle v_n, v_{n+h} \rangle = 0$ , then  $\text{C-lim}_n v_n = 0$ .

SKETCH: Normalize  $(v_n)$  and prove, via a telescoping estimate and averaging over  $\{0, \dots, H-1\}$ , that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} v_n \right\|^2 \leq O \left( \frac{1}{H^2} \sum_{h, h' < H} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n < N} \langle v_{n+h}, v_{n+h'} \rangle \right) + O \left( \frac{H^2}{N^2} \right)$$

for any  $N, H \geq 1$ . Use another telescoping argument to show that

$$\text{C-sup}_n \langle v_{n+h}, v_{n+h'} \rangle = \text{C-sup}_n \langle v_{n+|h-h'|}, v_n \rangle$$

for any  $h, h' < H$ , and use this to eliminate  $h'$ .

**Definition.** A function  $f \in L^2(X)$  is *weak mixing* if  $\text{D-lim}_n \langle T^{-n} f, f \rangle = 0$ .

**Exercise 7.** Show that for any weak mixing  $f \in L^2(X)$ , we have  $\text{D-lim}_n \langle T^{-n} f, g \rangle = 0$  for every  $g \in L^2(X)$ . Deduce that  $X$  is weak mixing iff every  $f \in L^2(X)$  with mean zero is weak mixing.

HINT: Use Exercises 4 and 6, and Cauchy-Schwarz.

**Exercise 8.** For any  $f \in L^2(X)$ , prove that  $\{T^n f : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  has compact closure in  $L^2(X)$  iff for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \|f - T^n f\| < \varepsilon\}$  is syndetic. If any of these hold, we say that  $f$  is *almost periodic*.

**Exercise 9.** Prove that if  $f$  is weak mixing and  $g$  is almost periodic, then  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ .

**Exercise 10.** Prove that the set  $\mathcal{AP}(X)$  of almost periodic functions in  $L^2(X)$  is closed.